PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUT	HORITY			REC'D 14 C	ICT 2005			
To:			PCT	WIPO	PC			
Wagner Zacco AB								
Norra Vallgatan 72	.	WRITTEN OPINION OF THE						
S-211 22 Malmo		INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY						
Sweden		,	(PCT Rule 43b	io 1\				
		•	OCF SHEET EST	u.1 <i>)</i>				
	· l							
		Date of mailing	1	0 -10- 2005	,]			
		(day/month/year)		0 2003	<u></u>			
Applicant's or agent's file reference		FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below						
B 310 PCT			See baragraphy	- neina				
International application No.	International filing date	(day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)		ł			
PCT/SE2005/000932	17.06.2005		22.06.2004					
International Patent Classification (IPC)	or both national classific	eation and IPC						
A61F 2/46, B01F 13/0	0, B01F 15/02	2						
Applicant								
Bone Support AB et a	1	•						
1. This opinion contains indications rel	•	ms:						
Box No. I Basis of the opinion								
Box No. II Priority								
Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability								
Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention								
Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement								
Box No. VI Cartain documents cited								
Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application								
Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application								
2 PIDTUPD ACTION								
2. FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the								
International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an								
Authority other than this one to be IPBA and the chosen IPBA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.								
If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the								
IPRA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing								
of Form PCI/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further opinions, see Form PCI/ISA/220.								
To think opinions, soo roam roam	10/12/200.							
3. For further details, see notes to Form	n PCT/ISA/220.				.			
					Į.			
Name and mailing address of the ISA/S		Authorized officer		٠.	1			
Patent- och registreringsvarke Box 5055	3 C	Bengt Chris	tenggon/	MP	1			
8-102 42 STOCKHOLM	•							
Facsimile No. +46 8 667 72 88		Telephone No. +46	B 782 25	00				

Form PCT/ISA/237 (cover sheet) (April 2005)

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

ţ

International application No.

PCT/SE2005/000932

Be	z No. I	Basis of this opinion
1.	E	gard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of: the international application in the language in which it was filed
	Ħ	a translation of the international application into, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1(b)).
2.		gard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the I invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
	a. type	of material
	L	a sequence listing
	L	table(s) related to the sequence listing
	b. form	at of material
		on paper
		in electronic form
	c. time	of filling/furnishing
	<u>_</u>	contained in the international application as filed.
		filed together with the international application in electronic form.
		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3.		In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
4.	Addition	nal comments:
		•

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.
PCT/SE2005/000932

1. Statement			***************************************
Novelty (N)	Claims	1-55	YES
	Claims	·	NO
Inventive step (IS)	Claims	1-55	YES
•	Claims		NONO
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-55	YES
	Claims		МО

2. Citations and explanations:

Documents cited in the International Search Report:

D1: US, 6431743, B1

D2: US, 5551778, A

D3: US, 5501520, A

D4: US, 4338925, A

D5: WO, 2004002615, A1

The cited documents represent the general state of the art. The invention defined in claims 1-55 is not disclosed by any of these documents.

The cited prior art does not give any indication that would lead a person skilled in the art to the claimed device for producing a bone substitute and/or bone reinforcing material or bone cement. A piston is provided in a mixing space of a mixing chamber. A rotatable means releases the piston such that the piston can move towards an opening. Furthermore, there are three alternative modes of operation 1) the rotatable means can follow the piston in the mixing space 2) a screw includes a nut and is located in the mixing container such that the screw is non-rotatable 3) the mixing container is connected to a distributor and several containers are connected to the distributor. Therefore, the claimed invention is not obvious to a person skilled in the art.

Accordingly, the invention defined in claims 1-55 is novel and is considered to involve an inventive step. The invention is industrially applicable.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.
PCT/SE2005/000932

Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawing or on the question whether the claim are fully supported by the description, are made:

The wording of claims 38-49 does not comply with the PCT Article 6 and Rule 13. The claims 38-49 should be phrased as "use-claims".

Form PCT/ISA/237 (Box No. VIII) (April 2005)